

CKO responses to FSRA Auto Reforms Consultation

Consultation Questions (responses in **bold text**):

- 1) What features should a HSP licensing system focus on to have better user functionality?
 - a. Ability to independently verify user attestations (for example, validating registration status with a regulatory body or regulatory bodies).**
- 2) Are there any concerns/considerations FSRA should keep in mind when developing and implementing HSP supervisory tool?
 - a. Limitations on the ability of RHCs to share information. Consideration should be given to s.36 of the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*.**
 - b. Of note: RHCs have Public Registers, which are available on their website(s). It may be most efficient if a supervisory tool and/or licensing system were able to review and use that information, which is already publicly available.**
- 3) What areas of licensing and supervision can RHCs and FSRA work together on to better alleviate issues in the sector?
 - a. Exploring opportunities to identify risks associated with the profession(s) that are or may be of interest to FSRA and the RHC(s) in question.**
 - b. May be an opportunity for system partner meetings (annually?) to share high-level information and trends.**
 - c. May also consider educational opportunities (for example: joint education/learning/information sessions for registrants to clarify processes, procedures, responsibilities and accountabilities).**
 - d. Opportunities to align and streamline regulatory requirements**
- 4) What are the key implementation considerations that must be taken into account for each initiative?

In no particular order:

- a. Confidentiality and appropriate collection, use, storage and disclosure/sharing of information**
- b. Administrative efficiencies**

- c. Clarity regarding FSRA and RHC expectations, and efforts to optimize/align expectations where possible to avoid conflicting requirements.**
- 5) How can FSRA help to ensure that prioritized initiatives/changes are communicated to HSPs and other stakeholders?
 - a. Multi-pronged approach may be beneficial, ensuring adequate consultation/discussion time given to anticipate and respond to changes rather than react.**
 - b. Ongoing stakeholder communications regarding updates and information sharing.**
- 6) Are there any considerations which have been missed that should be considered as part of the HSP review and/or the proposed initiatives?
 - a. Optimizing lines of communication between FSRA and RHCs to proactively identify and address any issues.**